ORGANIC LETTERS

2010
Vol. 12, No. 12
2864−2867

Catalytic Nucleophilic Glyoxylation of Aldehydes

Kimberly M. Steward and Jeffrey S. Johnson*

Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3290

jsj@unc.edu

Received April 30, 2010

ABSTRACT



***β*-Silyloxy-α-keto esters are prepared through a cyanide-catalyzed benzoin-type reaction with silyl glyoxylates and aldehydes. The products undergo a dynamic kinetic resolution to provide enantioenriched orthogonally protected alcohols and can be converted to the corresponding *β*-silyloxy-α-amino esters.**

α-Keto esters play an important role in organic synthesis[1] and are prevalent substructures of many biologically active natural products such as 3-deoxy-D-*manno*-2-octulosonic acid (KDO), 3-deoxy-D-glycero-D-galacto-2-nonulosonic acid (KDN), and *N*-acetylneuraminic acid.[2] Due to inductive effects of the attached ester and opportunities for chelation, α-keto esters exhibit dramatically enhanced electrophilicity relative to normal ketones.[3] They react readily with nucleophiles to give tertiary α-hydroxy esters and undergo reduction[4] and reductive amination[5] to provide access to stereochemically defined α-hydroxy esters and α-amino esters, respectively.

Although the α-keto ester is a highly versatile building block, its de novo introduction has not been generalized, particularly those α-keto esters bearing a *β*-stereogenic center.[6] Germane to the present work are several methodologies that have been developed to construct the α-keto acid derivative via electrophilic trapping of glyoxylate anion equivalents. Eliel demonstrated that 1,3-dithiane-2-lithio-2-carboxylates (**I**) undergo alkylation to provide 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds after deprotection,[7] and Takahashi has utilized 2-metallo-2-alkoxy-2-cyanoacetates (**II**) in a related manner (Figure 1).[8] Chiral glyoxylate anion equivalents have been reported by Enders, who used a chiral α-amino cyanoacetate

(1) (a) Cooper, A.; Meister, G. A. *Chem. Rev.* **1983**, *83*, 321. (b) Kovacs, L. *Rec. Trav. Chim. PaysBas* **1993**, *112*, 471.
(2) Kiefel, M. J.; von Itzstein, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 471.
(3) Evans, D. A.; Burgey, C. S.; Kozlowski, M. C.; Tregay, S. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 686.
(4) Yao, W.; Wang, J. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1527.
(5) Kang, Q.; Zhao, Z. A.; You, S. L. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 1657.
(6) For a recent example see Nakamura, A.; Lectard, S.; Hashizume, D.; Hamashima, Y.; Sodeoka, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 4036.
(7) Eliel, E.; Hartmann, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1972**, *37*, 505.
(8) (a) Takahashi, T.; Okano, T.; Harada, T.; Imamura, K.; Yamada, H. *Synlett* **1994**, *38*, 121. (b) Takahashi, T.; Tsukamoto, H.; Kurosaki, M.; Yamada, H. *Synlett* **1997**, *41*, 1065.
(9) (a) Enders, D.; Bonten, M. H.; Raabe, G. *Synlett* **2007**, *51*, 885. (b) Enders, D.; Bonten, M. H.; Raabe, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2314.

10.1021/ol100996w
Published on Web 05/19/2010



Figure 1. Glyxoylate anion synthetic equivalents.

(**III**),[9] and Rovis, who employed glyoxyamides as the glyoxylate donor in a catalytic enantioselective Stetter reaction proceeding via the chiral acyl−Breslow intermediate **IV**.[10] The purpose of this communication is to introduce silyl glyoxylates (**1**) as synthetic equivalents to the glyoxylate anion synthon in the context of carbonyl addition reactions.

Acyl silanes[11] have been developed as acyl anion equivalents for use in the racemic[12] and enantioselective[13] cross silyl benzoin reaction (Figure 2a). While broad in scope, it



Figure 2. Comparison of acylsilane and silyl glyoxylate reactivity.

occurred to us that in certain instances carboxyl functionality adjacent to the ketone might be desirable and could significantly expand the product types delivered by this reaction class (Figure 2c). Silyl glyoxylates[14] are related reagents that have proven useful for the geminal linking of nucleophile and electrophile pairs at a glycolic acid junction. A common reactivity pathway explored in our laboratory with silyl glyoxylates involves nucleophilic addition, 1,2-Brook rearrangement,[15] and electrophilic trapping (Figure 2b).[16] These multicomponent reactions are believed to proceed through glycolate enolate intermediates. Various examples incorporate the nucleophile as a stoichiometric component; however, we postulated that in the presence of a nucleophilic catalyst and aldehyde we could arrive at β-silyloxy-α-keto esters via a silyl benzoin mechanism.[12c,d] Alternative methods for the preparation of the proposed product β-hydroxy-α-keto acid derivatives include the addition of diazoacetates to aldehydes followed by oxidation[17] and Baylis−Hilman reaction followed by alkene ozonolysis.[18]

Preliminary studies focused on identifying a viable nucleophilic catalyst. We evaluated various metal cyanides with benzaldehyde as the test substrate (Scheme 1). Sodium

Scheme 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions with Metal Cyanides



cyanide, potassium cyanide, and potassium cyanide/18-crown-6 complex were the initial metal cyanides screened. In each case, the desired ketone product was not obtained; instead, the reaction yielded the α-silyoxy-β-keto ester **2**. This product is likely derived from isomerization of the initially formed α-keto ester under the basic reaction conditions (Scheme 2).

We sought to identify a less basic source of cyanide that could potentially stop at the desired ketone product. Lanthanide isopropoxides have been reported as efficient catalysts in the transhydrocyanation from acetone cyanohydrin to aldehydes and ketones.[19] We were pleased to find that the combination of $Yb(O^iPr)_3$ (10 mol %) and acetone cyanohydrin ($Me_2C(OH)C{\equiv}N$, 1 equiv) yielded the desired

(10) Liu, Q.; Perreault, S.; Rovis, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14066.
(11) (a) Degl'Innocenti, A.; Ricci, A.; Mordini, A.; Reginato, G.; Colotta, V. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1987**, *117*, 645. (b) Reich, H. J.; Holtan, R. C.; Bolm, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 5609. (c) Takeda, K.; Ohnishi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 4169.
(12) (a) Degl'Innocenti, A.; Pike, S.; Walton, D. R. M. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1980**, 1201. (b) Schinzer, D.; Heathcock, C. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 1881. (c) Linghu, X.; Johnson, J. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 2534. (d) Linghu, X.; Bausch, C. C.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 1833.
(13) Linghu, X.; Potnick, J. R.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 3070.
(14) Nicewicz, D. A.; Brétéché, G.; Johnson, J. S. *Org. Synth.* **2008**, *85*, 278.
(15) Brook, A. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1974**, *7*, 77.
(16) Nicewicz, D. A.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 6170. (b) Linghu, X.; Satterfield, A. D.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9302. (c) Nicewicz, D. A.; Satterfield, A. D.; Schmitt, D. C.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 17281. (d) Greszler, S. N.; Johnson, J. S. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 827. (e) Greszler, S. N.; Johnson, J. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, 3689. (f) Schmitt, D. C.; Johnson, J. S. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 944.
(17) (a) Yao, W.; Wang, J. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1527. (b) Hasegawa, K.; Arai, S.; Nishida, A. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 1390. (c) Trost, B. M.; Malhotra, S.; Fried, B. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 1674.
(18) Frezza, M.; Soulère, L.; Queneau, Y.; Doutheau, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 6495.
(19) Ohno, H.; Mori, A.; Inoue, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1993**, 375.

Scheme 2. Isomerization Pathway



ketone product, albeit as the cyanohydrin adduct **3** (dr: 1.2:1). A catalytic amount of acetone cyanohydrin afforded the desired β-silyloxy-α-keto ester in 64% yield. Optimization of the reaction conditions revealed that the α-keto ester **4a** could be obtained in up to 90% yield using 5 mol % $Yb(O^iPr)_3$, 20 mol % acetone cyanohydrin, and 2 equiv of aldehyde.

With optimized conditions in hand, we wished to examine the scope of the reaction (Table 1). Electron-rich, electron-poor, heteroaromatic, and aliphatic aldehydes all performed well in the reaction with yields ranging from 75 to 96%. The reaction has steric limitations as pivalaldehyde failed to provide any desired product. It is notable that all reactions were complete within twenty minutes, and in most cases the silyl glyoxylate was completely consumed immediately upon addition of all reagents (see the Supporting Information). An attractive feature of this reaction is that the products can be obtained in analytically pure form after passing the crude reaction mixture through a short silica plug and removing the excess aldehyde under reduced pressure.

The title reaction appears amenable to enantioselective catalysis. When deuterated benzaldehyde (PhCDO) was used as the electrophile, full deuterium incorporation at the methine was observed in **4a-*d*$_1$**, suggesting that the product is stable toward enolization under the silyl benzoin conditions. The ideal chiral catalyst would need to both facilitate the transhydrocyanation and direct the facial selectivity in the subsequent aldehyde addition. Preliminary studies employing chiral metallocyanides[20] yielded racemic material, and reactions with chiral metallophosphites[13,21] provided no desired product; however, due to the acidity of the α-keto ester, we thought our substrates would be good candidates to undergo a dynamic kinetic resolution delivering enantioenriched orthogonal diols (**6**, Table 2).

There are a number of examples of dynamic asymmetric (transfer) hydrogenations of benzoin-type substrates employing chiral ruthenium(II) complexes.[22] We initially examined the use of Noyori-type conditions[23] with BINAP-derived catalysts and **4a**, but no reaction was observed. We attributed this lack of reactivity to the steric bulk of the TBS protected

Table 1. Scope of Aldehyde Glyoxylation



entry	product	structure	yield[a] (%)
1	**4a**		87
2	**4b**		92
3	**4c**		80
4	**4d**		86
5	**4e**		89
6	**4f**		90
7	**4g**		92
8	**4h**		85
9	**4i**		86
10	**4j**		88
11[b]	**4k**		96
12[b]	**4l**		85

[a] Yields of analytically pure material after workup. [b] $Sm(O^iPr)_3$ (10 mol %) was employed.

alcohol. Unfortunately, initial attempts to remove the silyl group led to decomposition, perhaps through retro-aldol reaction. We then turned our attention to using catalysts of the type **5** in an asymmetric transfer hydrogenation with triethylamine as the base and formic acid as the hydride source.[24] The ketone was reduced under these conditions, albeit with low enantioselectivity and moderate *anti*-diasteroselectivity (Table 2, entry 1).

Increasing the equivalents of base led to higher enantioselectivities (entry 3), and this result can possibly be

(20) (a) Nicewicz, D. A.; Yates, C. M.; Johnson, J. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2652. (b) Nicewicz, D. A.; Yates, C. M.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *64*, 6548.

(21) (a) Nahm, M. R.; Potnick, J. R.; White, P. S.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 2751. (b) Garrett, M. R.; Tarr, J. C.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12944.

(22) (a) Ikariya, T.; Hashiguchi, S.; Murata, K.; Noyori, R. *Org. Syn.* **2005**, *82*, 10. (b) Arai, N.; Ooka, H.; Azuma, K.; Yabuuchi, T.; Kurono, N.; Inoue, T.; Ohkuma, T. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 939. (c) Ooka, H.; Arai, H.; Azuma, K.; Kurono, N.; Ohkuma, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 9084.

(23) Sandoval, C. A.; Ohkuma, T.; Muniz, K.; Noyori, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13490.

(24) Ikariya, T.; Blacker, J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 1300.

Table 2. Dynamic Kinetic Resolution of **4a**



entry	[H⁻]/base (equiv)	conv[a] (%) (**6**:**2**)	er[b] (*anti*)	dr[c] *anti*/*syn*
1	HCOOH/NEt_3 (3.2/4.4)	88:12	67:33	5:1
2	HCOOH/NEt_3 (12.5/5.0)	79:21	67:33	4:1
3	HCOOH/NEt_3 (3.2/10.0)	35:65	78:22	4:1
4[d]	HCOONa (5.0)	97:0	67:33	3:1
5[e]	HCOONa (5.0)	93:7	71:29	3:1
6	HCOONa (5.0)	92:8	72:28	3:1
7	HCOOH/DIEA (3.2/10.0)	67:33	75:25	2:1
8	HCOOH/Cs_2CO_3 (3.2/10.0)	91:9	70:30	3:1
9	HCOOH/Li_2CO_3 (3.2/10.0)	73:27	70:30	3:1
10	HCOOH/$BaCO_3$ (3.2/10.0)	46:2	70:30	3:1
11	HCOOH/$CdCO_3$ (3.2/10.0)	51:5	76:24	3:1
12	HCOOCs (5.0)	89:6	70:30	3:1

[a] Conversion determined by ^{1}HNMR spectroscopy. [b] Enantiomeric excess determined by SFC. [c] Diastereomeric excess determined by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy. [d] Reaction run at 0 °C. [e] Reaction run at 40 °C.

attributed to more rapid racemization of the starting material; however, these basic conditions also facilitated formation of the isomerized product **2**. Interestingly, this ketone is not reduced under these reaction conditions. We then explored the use of sodium formate as the hydride source (entries 4−6) with the expectation that its decreased basicity would still be sufficient for racemization but would not facilitate silyl transfer. We were pleased to find that these conditions reduced **4a** with only trace amounts of the isomerized product **2** at room temperature (entry 6), and at 0 °C silyl transfer is completely suppressed (entry 4). Unfortunately, under these conditions the er is diminished.

An assessment of other bases in combination with formic acid revealed that the degree of isomerization could be lowered (entries 7−11). However, the highest er observed was 76:24, with a dr of 3:1 (entry 11). Future work will focus on: (1) increasing the rate of starting material racemization; (2) minimizing silyl transfer; and (3) fine-tuning the catalyst structure to achieve optimal enantio- and diastereoselectivity.

The α-keto ester products may also be converted to their derived β-silyloxy-α-amino esters (i.e., **7**, Scheme 3). Under standard reductive amination conditions, competitive ketone reduction was observed.[25] The desired product was obtained from a three-step sequence (72% overall yield) consisting of conversion to the oxime, reduction, and Boc protection.[26] Preference for the *syn*-diastereomer in a 3:1 ratio was observed.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of β-Silyoxy-α-amino Esters



In summary, we have developed a nucleophilic glyoxylation of aldehydes with silyl glyoxylates. The products are able to undergo a subsequent dynamic kinetic resolution, providing enantioenriched monoprotected diols with enantioselectivity up to 76:24 and diastereoselection up to 5:1. The glyoxylation products can be further elaborated to β-silyloxy-α-amino esters, highlighting their potential as small-molecule building blocks. Future studies will focus on developing catalytic asymmetric glyoxylation and optimizing dynamic kinetic resolutions of racemic glyoxylation adducts.

Acknowledgment. The project described was supported by Award Number R01 GM084927 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, Novartis, and Amgen.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and analytical data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL100996W

(25) Abdel-Magid, A. F.; Mehrman, S. J. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2006**, *10*, 971.

(26) (a) Abiraj, K.; Gowda, D. C. *J. Chem. Res.* **2003**, 332. (b) Hasegawa, K.; Arai, S.; Nishida, A. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 1390.